## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/448,378

Applicant(s)

Brasel et al

Examiner

F. Pierre VanderVegt

Group Art Unit 1644

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) F. Pierre VanderVegt	(3)
(2) James Klaniecki	(4)
Date of Interview May 23, 2001	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2) applicant's representative] e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  In a call initiated by Applicant's representative, informed Applicant's representative that the After-final amendment has been received and is under consideration.	
	Iments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	
	D. P. Jak Jag

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.